

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

). F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
	01/29/2001	Michele Bennett Kinrade	U 013223-9	9691
7590	06/07/2005		EXAMINER	
IN PROC	TER LLP		WEGERT, S	ANDRA L
			ARTINIT	PAPER NUMBER
EXCHANGE PLACE BOSTON, MA 02109-2881			1647	TALER NOMBER
	7590 IN PROCT ADMINIST GE PLACE	01/29/2001 7590 06/07/2005 IN PROCTER LLP ADMINISTRATOR GE PLACE	01/29/2001 Michele Bennett Kinrade 7590 06/07/2005 IN PROCTER LLP ADMINISTRATOR GE PLACE	01/29/2001 Michele Bennett Kinrade U 013223-9 7590 06/07/2005 EXAM IN PROCTER LLP ADMINISTRATOR GE PLACE ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 06/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 February 2005. 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)☑ Claim(s) 91-98 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 91-94 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)② Claim(s) 99-97 is/are rejected. 7)☑ Claim(s) 99-97 is/are rejected. 7)☑ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9)□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 29 January 2001 is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	. ,)	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Sandra Wegert Sandra Weger			09/771,956	KINRADE ET AL.				
Preiod for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILUNG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Exercisor of time may be available under the previous at 37 CRF 1.13(a). In or event, however, may a reply be finely filed Exercisor of time may be available under the previous at 37 CRF 1.13(a). In or event, however, may a reply be finely filed Exercisor of time may be available under the previous at 37 CRF 1.13(a). In or event, however, may a reply be finely filed Exercisor of the may be available under the previous at 37 CRF 1.13(a). In or event, however, may a reply be finely filed If the period for reply is pecified shows is less than finity (00) days, as reply within the scholary minimum of thisly (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified shows, be maximum studiety period will apply within the scholary minimum of thisly (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified shows, be maximum statutory period will apply within the scholary minimum of thisly (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is period shows, be maximum statutory and will explicit the scholary minimum of thisly (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is period shows, be maximum statutory and will be period to the scholary days of the scholary file of the communication. If NO period is a construction of the scholary and the scholary file of the scholary file of the communication. If NO period is a communication is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Explanation is non-final. It is a closed in accordance with the practice under Explanation is non-final. It is a closed in accordance with the practice under Explanation is non-final. It is a closed in accordance with the practice under Explanation is non-final. It is a closed in accordance with the practice under Explanation is non-final. It is a closed in accordanc		Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. • In the State of MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. • If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statisticity period will apply and will expire Stx (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. • If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statisticity period will apply and will expire Stx (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. • If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statisticity period will apply and will expire Stx (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. • If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statisticity period will apply and will expire Stx (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even if timely field, may reduce any searched patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 18 February 2005. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 91-98 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 91-94 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 □ Claim(s) 95-97 is/are rejected. 7 □ Claim(s) 95-97 is/are rejected. 7 □ Claim(s) 95-97 is/are rejected to. 9 □ Claim(s) 95-97 is/are rejected to. 9 □ Claim(s) 95-97 is/are rejected to. 10 □ Claim(s) 95-97 is/are rejected to. 11 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 4 □ Provide Provided			Sandra Wegert	1647				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estensions of time may be available under the provision of 3°CPR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Provision of the provision of		• •	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 February 2005. 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 ဩ Claim(s) 91-98 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 91-94 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 □ Claim(s) 95-97 is/are rejected. 7 ဩ Claim(s) 95-97 is/are rejected. 7 ဩ Claim(s) 95-97 is/are rejected. 7 ဩ Claim(s) — are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 ဩ The drawing(s) filed on 29 January 2001 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)□ All b)□ Some *c)□ None of: 1□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. — 3□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) □ Other:	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any							
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 91-98 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 91-94 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) 95-97 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) 98 is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) 99 is/are objected to. 9 Claim(s) 98 is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on 29 January 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 Application may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b Some c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(e) 1) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) application Faper No(s)Mail Date 10/19/01.8/304.8/2.7/p4 All Internation Disclaeure Statement(s) (PTO-1430 or PTO-155) billower. 5 Online in Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) billower.	Status							
3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 91-98 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 91-94 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) 92 is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 92 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 93 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) 93 is/are objected to. 8) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 29 January 2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in aboyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of Prafepreson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-149 or PTO/SB/08) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) □ Other: □ □	1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Fe	ebruary 2005.					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 91-98 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 91-94 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 96-97 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 98 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) 98 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 29 January 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * o) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Notice of Draftspresor's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
A) Solution of Claims 4) Claim(s) 91-98 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 91-94 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) □ is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 95-97 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 99 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) 99 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) □ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 29 January 2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. □ 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(a) 1) ☑ Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/1/3/01.8/504.8/2.7/of Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/1/3/01.8/504.8/2.7/of Potos (PTO-152) Other: □	3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowar	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 91-98 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 91-94 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s)		closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) 91-94 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 38 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 29 January 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(a) 1) ☒ Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-892) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ☐ Preper No(s)Mail Date	Dispositi	on of Claims						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 95-97 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 98 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 98 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 98 is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 29 January 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)🖂	Claim(s) 91-98 is/are pending in the application).					
6) Claim(s) 95-97 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 98 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 98 is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 29 January 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Proferences Cited (PTO-892) Apper Note; Mail Date 10/19/01.8/5/01.8/2.7/off Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper Note; Mail Date 10/19/01.8/5/01.8/2.7/off	·							
T) ☐ Claim(s) 98 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 29 January 2001 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of Profesperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) ☐ Other: 5) ☐ Notice of Defense PTO-1520 6) ☐ Other: 6) ☐	5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 29 January 2001 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	6)⊠	∑ Claim(s) <u>95-97</u> is/are rejected.						
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 29 January 2001 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)Mail Date 10/19/01.8/504.8/2.7/01 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Flager Vo(s)Mail Date 10/19/01.8/504.8/2.7/01 6) ☐ Other:	7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>98</u> is/are objected to.						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 29 January 2001 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/19/01.8/5/04.8/2.7/off 6) ☐ Other:	8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on 29 January 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/19/01.8/5/04.8/2.7/04 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	Applicati	on Papers						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/19/01.8/5/04.82.7/04 6) Other:	9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	f.					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/19/01.8/5/04.8/2.7/off 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/19/01.8/5/04.82.7/04 6) Other:	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1.								
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/19/01.8/5/04.8/2.7/04 6) Other:	Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/19/01.8/5/04.8/2.7/04 6) Other:	_	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		-(d) or (f).				
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/19/01.8/5/04.8/2.7/04 6) ☐ Other:								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/19/01.8/5/04.8/2.7/04 6) Other:		<u> </u>						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/19/01,8/5/04,8/2,7/04 (PO-12 2) Attachment(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Cher:			-	ou in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/19/01,8/5/04,8/2,7/04 Poper No(s)/Mail Date 10/19/01,8/5/04,8/2,7/04 Other:								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/19/01,8/5/04,8/2.7/04 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/19/01,8/5/04,8/2.7/04 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	Attachma-	Ne)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/19/01,8/5/04,8/2,7/04 6) Other:	_	• •	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/19/01,8/5/04,8/2</u> 7/ 04 6)	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
	3) 🛛 Inform Paper	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 10/19/01.8/5/04.8/2.7/04		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Detailed Action

Status of Application, Amendments, and/or Claims

The Election, sent 13 December 2004, and the Information Disclosure Statements, sent 5 August 2004 and 27 August 2004, respectively, have been entered into the record. Claims 1-90 are cancelled. Claims 91-98 are new. Applicant traversed the Restriction and argued that the claims of Inventions I and II should be rejoined, since a search of the art for Group I should reveal the relevant art for the other inventive Group. However, the claims of Inventions I and II were restricted properly, because the products of Inventions I and II are independent and distinct, have different putative functions, have different structures, and require completely different search terms, sequence searches, starting points and strategies, and because the measured characteristics of each NPY chimeric receptor are distinct.

Claims 91-94 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to nonelected Inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claims.

Claims 95-98 are under examination in the Instant Application.

Claim Objections and/or Rejections

Claim Rejections-35 USC § 112, first paragraph-scope of enablement

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Art Unit: 1647

Claims 95-97 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the Specification, while being enabling for the chimeric NPY receptor of SEQ ID NO: 9, is not enabling for variants of SEQ ID NO: 9. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Claims 95-97 are directed to a chimeric NPY receptor in which the C-terminal domain of an NPY5 receptor is replaced by that from an NPY1 receptor. The claims also read on "conservative variants thereof." However, the scope of the patent protection sought by the Applicant as defined by the claims fails to correlate reasonably with the scope of enabling disclosure set forth in the specification for the following reasons:

The specification is not enabling for the full scope of the claims wherein the claims encompass variants of SEQ ID NO: 9, without listing the polypeptides described in the Specification that fall into this genus and function identically to SEQ ID NO: 9. NPY receptors are characterized as having important roles in feeding and cardiovascular functions, among many others (see for example: Wraith, et al, 2000, Genome Res., 10: 302-310 and Blomqvist and Herzog, 1997, Trends Neurosci., 20(7): 294-298). However, the art is primarily unpredictable as far as variants of NPY receptors. In contrast, for example, some receptors, such as the melanocortin receptors, *are* well-characterized in terms of variants and the amino acid residues that must be preserved for normal receptor function (see, for example, Srinivasan, et al, 2004, J. Clin. Invest., 114: 1158-1164). Similarly, there is little data in the current literature concerning replacement of entire domains of NPY receptors. Since Applicants have not made or used any

Art Unit: 1647

variants of SEQ ID NO: 9 in their experiments, the claimed NPY5/NPY1 receptor with "conservative variants thereof" is not enabled by the instant Disclosure.

The instant Specification describes measurement of typical characteristics of G-proteincoupled receptors, for the chimeric receptor of SEQ ID NO: 9, such as K_D, B_{max}, and affinities for G_i and G_o (see Figure 1). The NPY chimeric receptor of the claims differs from the NPY5 receptor in each characteristic measured. However, the instant case claims altering the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 9 in unpredictable ways. As discussed above, although NPY receptor family members share several common structural features, relevant art (Wraith, et al, 2000, Genome Res., 10: 302-310 and Blomqvist and Herzog, 1997, Trends Neurosci., 20(7): 294-298) shows that members of this class have high homology but do not share a predictable functional attribute or utility, despite having basic structural features in common. Point mutations in similar GPCR's further serve to illustrate this point, since a single amino acid mutation can change the substrate specificity of a receptor or inactivate it (Garabedian and Yamamoto, 1992, Mol. Biol. Cell, 3: 1245-1257-see Fig. 4; Pritchett and Seeburg, 1991, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci, 88: 1421-1425; and, Kopin, et al, 2003, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 100(9): 5525-5530). These examples and others illustrate that it is not predictable as to which amino acids are necessary to maintain the functional characteristics of most receptor proteins, including those of the instant Disclosure and that undue experimentation would be required to determine a structure-function relationship for each possible polypeptide encompassed by the claims.

In <u>In re Wands</u>, 8USPQ2d, 1400 (CAFC 1988) page 1404, the factors to be considered in determining whether a disclosure would require undue experimentation include (1) the quantity of experimentation necessary, (2) the amount of direction or guidance presented, (3) the presence

Art Unit: 1647

or absence of working examples, (4) the nature of the invention, (5) the state of the prior art, (6) the relative skill of those in the art, (7) the predictability or unpredictability of the art, and (8) the breadth of the claims.

Due to the large quantity of experimentation necessary to determine an activity or property of the claimed polypeptides such that it can be determined how to use the claimed polypeptides and to screen for activity, the lack of direction/guidance presented in the specification regarding same, the absence of working examples directed to same, the complex nature of the invention, and the breadth of the claims --undue experimentation would be required of the skilled artisan to make and/or use the claimed invention in its full scope.

35 USC § 112, first paragraph – Written Description.

Claims 95-97 are rejected under 35 USC § 112, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claims 95-97 are directed to a chimeric NPY receptor in which the C-terminal domain of an NPY5 receptor is replaced by that from an NPY1 receptor. The claims read on "conservative variants thereof" of SEQ ID NO: 9

The specification teaches measurement of art-typical characteristics of the chimeric receptor of SEQ ID NO: 9, such as K_D , B_{max} , and relative affinities for G_i and G_o (see Figure 1). The NPY chimeric receptor of the claims differs from the typical NPY5 receptor in each measured property characteristic. However, the instant claims encompass altering the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 9 in unpredictable ways. However, Applicants were not in

Art Unit: 1647

possession of variants of SEQ ID NO: 9.

Vas-Cath Inc. v. Mahurkar, 19USPQ2d 1111, clearly states that "applicant must convey with reasonable clarity to those skilled in the art that, as of the filing date sought, he or she was in possession of the invention. The invention is, for purposes of the 'written description' inquiry, whatever is now claimed" (See page 1117). The specification does not "clearly allow persons of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that [he or she] invented what is claimed" (See Vas-Cath at page 1116).

With the exception of the NPY chimera of SEQ ID NO: 9 referred to above, the skilled artisan cannot envision the detailed chemical structure of the encompassed polypeptides, and therefore, would not know how to make or use them. Conception is not achieved until reduction to practice has occurred, regardless of the complexity or simplicity of the method of making or using. Adequate written description requires more than a mere statement that it is part of the invention and reference to a potential method of use. *The polypeptide itself is required.* See *Fiers v. Revel*, 25 USPQ2d 1601 at 1606 (CAFC 1993) and *Amgen Inc. v. Chugai Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd.*, 18 USPQ2d 1016.

One cannot describe what one has not conceived. See *Fiddes v. Baird*, 30 USPQ2d 1481 at 1483. In *Fiddes*, claims directed to mammalian FGF's were found to be unpatentable due to lack of written description for that broad class. The specification provided only the bovine sequence.

Therefore, only the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 9 meets the written description provision of 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph. Applicant is reminded that *Vas-Cath* makes clear that the written description provision of 35 U.S.C. §112 is severable from its enablement provision (see

Application/Control Number: 09/771,956 Page 7

Art Unit: 1647

page 1115).

To provide evidence of possession of a claimed genus, the specification must provide sufficient distinguishing identifying characteristics of the genus. The factors to be considered include disclosure of complete or partial structure, physical and/or chemical properties, functional characteristics, structure/function correlation, methods of making the claimed product, or any combination thereof. In this case, the only factor present in the claims is a recitation of "conservative variants thereof." There is not even identification or discussion of any particular residues that must be conserved (for example, to maintain function). In the absence of a sufficient recitation of distinguishing identifying characteristics, the specification does not provide adequate written description of the claimed genus. Making and using a representative number of variant NPY chimeric receptor proteins is likewise not adequately described.

Claim Objections-

Claim 98 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Claims 95-97 are rejected. Claim 98 is objected to.

Advisory information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sandra Wegert whose telephone number is (571) 272-0895. The

Application/Control Number: 09/771,956 Page 8

Art Unit: 1647

examiner can normally be reached Monday - Friday from 9:00 AM to 5:00 PM (Eastern Time). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback, can be reached at (571) 272-0961.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SLW 3 June 2005

JANET ANDRES